

**Liste de lectures – Examen rétrospectif
Politiques et management publics
2024**

- Wilson, W. (1887). The study of administration. *Political Science Quarterly*, 2(2), 197-222.
- Lindblom, C. E. (1959). The science of "muddling through." *Public Administration Review*, 19(2), 79-88.
- Weber, M. (1963[1917-1959]). *Le savant et le politique*. Paris: Union Générale d'Éditions.
- Allison, G. T. (1969). Conceptual models and the Cuban Missile Crisis. *American Political Science Review*, 63(3), 689-718.
- Cohen, M. D., March, J. G., & Olsen, J. P. (1972). A garbage can model of organizational choice. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 17(1), 1-25.
- Pressman, J. L., & Wildavsky, A. (1973). *Implementation: How Great Expectations in Washington are Dashed in Oakland: Or, why it's amazing that federal programs work at all*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Lipsky, M. (2010) [1980]. *Street-level bureaucracy: Dilemmas of the individual in public services*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Simon, H. A. (1985). Human nature in politics: The dialogue of psychology with political science. *American Political Science Review*, 79(2), 293-304.
- Bozeman, B. (1987). *All organizations are public: Bridging public and private organizational theories*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Stone, D. (2011) [1988]. *The policy paradox: The art of political decision making*. New York: W.W. Norton & Company.
- Hood, C. (1991). A public management for all seasons. *Public Administration*, 69(1), 3-19.
- Howlett, M. (1991). Policy instruments, policy styles, and policy implementation. *Policy Studies Journal*, 19(2), 1-21.
- Hall, P. A. (1993). Policy paradigms, social learning, and the state: The case of economic policymaking in Britain. *Comparative Politics*, 25(3), 275-296.
- Sabatier, P., & Jenkins-Smith, H. (Eds.). (1993). *Policy change and learning: An advocacy coalition approach*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Schneider, A., & Ingram, H. (1993). Social construction of target populations: Implications for politics and policy. *The American Political Science Review*, 87(2), 334-347.
- Kingdon, J. (1995). *Agendas, alternatives and public policies* (2nd ed.). New York: Harper Collins College Publishers.
- Pierson, P. (1995). *Dismantling the welfare state: Reagan, Thatcher, and the politics of retrenchment*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hall, P. A., & Taylor, R. C. R. (1997 [1996]). La science politique et les trois néo-institutionnalismes. *Revue française de science politique*, 47(3-4), 469-496.

- Stoker, G. (1998). Cinq propositions pour une théorie de la gouvernance. *Revue internationale des sciences sociales*, 155, 19-30.
- Ostrom, E. (1999). Coping with tragedies of the commons. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 2(1), 493-535.
- Denhardt, R. B., & Denhardt, J. V. (2000). The new public service: Serving rather than steering. *Public Administration Review*, 60(6), 549-559. <https://doi.org/10.2307/977437>
- Lynn, L. (2001). The myth of the bureaucratic paradigm: What traditional public administration really stood for. *Public Administration Review*, 61(2), 144-160.
- Chevallier, J. (2003). La gouvernance, un nouveau paradigme étatique? *Revue française d'administration publique*, 1(105-106), 203-217.
- Rossi, P., & Freeman, H. (2004). *Evaluation: A systematic approach* (7th ed.). Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Bingham, L. B., Nabatchi, T., & O'Leary, R. (2005). The new governance: Practices and processes for stakeholder and citizen participation in the work of government. *Public Administration Review*, 65(5), 547-558.
- Jørgensen, T. B., & Bozeman, B. (2007). Public values: An inventory. *Administration & Society*, 39(3), 354-381. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399707300703>
- Yanow, D. (2007). Interpretation in policy analysis: On methods and practice. *Critical Policy Studies*, 1(1), 110-122.
- Osborne, S. P. (2010). *The new public governance: Emerging perspectives on the theory and practice of public governance*. London: Routledge.
- Dubois, V. (2010). *La vie au guichet: Relation administrative et traitement de la misère* (3^e éd.). Paris: Economica.
- Dahler-Larsen, P. (2011). *The evaluation society*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Aucoin, P. (2012). New political governance in Westminster systems: Impartial public administration and management performance at risk. *Governance*, 25(2), 177-199. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0491.2012.01569.x>
- Halpern, C., Lascoumes, P., & Le Galès, P. (2014). *L'instrumentation de l'action publique: Controverses, résistances, effets*. Paris: Presses de Sciences Po.
- Baumgartner, F. R., & Jones, B. D. (2015). *The politics of information: Problem definition and the course of public policy in America*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Shafritz, J. M., Ott, J. S., & Jang, Y. S. (Eds.). (2015). *Classics of organization theory* (8^e éd.). Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.
- Pollitt, C., & Bouckaert, G. (2017). *Public management reform: A comparative analysis* (4^e éd.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Parkhurst, J. (2017). *The politics of evidence: From evidence-based policy to the good governance of evidence*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Herd, P., & Moynihan, D. P. (2018). *Administrative burden: Policymaking by other means*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

- Howlett, M. (2019). *Designing Public Policies Principles and Instruments, 2e édition*, London; Routledge.
- Weible, C. (Ed.). (2023). *Theories of the policy process* (5th ed.). New York: Routledge.
- Savoie, D. J. (2024). *Speaking truth to Canadians about their public service*. Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press.

Lectures hors liste pour référence seulement

Peters, G. B., & Pierre, J. (2003). *Handbook of public administration*. London: Sage.

Howlett, M., Ramesh, M., & Perl, A. (2020). *Studying public policy: Policy cycles and policy subsystems* (4^e éd.) Don Mills, ON: Oxford University Press.

Knoepfel, P., Larrue, C., Varone, F., & Savard, J. F. (2015). *Analyse et pilotage des politiques publiques: France, Suisse, Canada*. Québec: PUQ.